

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/928,717	08/09/2001	Richard Fischbeck	00-106	6856	
24124 75	590 06/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BOHAN, MATHERS & ASSOCIATES, LLC			A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
PO BOX 17707 PORTLAND, 1	ME 04112-8707		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/04/2007	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	09/928,717	FISCHBECK, RICHARD				
Office Action Summary						
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communica	Phi D A	3637 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	_			
Period for Reply	aon appears on the cover sheet h	Tur tre correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communically the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>15 <i>March</i> 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 32-50 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 32-50 are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control o	withdrawn from consideration. n and/or election requirement. Examiner. n) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyane correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been the large (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date)-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/928,717

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 32-47, drawn to a geodesic structure, classified in class 52, subclass 81.1.
 - II. Claims 48--50, drawn to a method of constructing a structure, classified in class52, subclass 745.07.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process; for example, the product is formed by a selecting preformed conical elements of different sizes and shapes and assembled them together.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: figures 4-5, renumbered figure 6, renumbered figure 7, renumbered figure 8, renumbered figure 9, renumbered figure 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,717

Art Unit: 3637

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

5/28/04